MeSHwA: The case for a Memory-Safe Software and Hardware Architecture for Serverless Computing

Anjo Vahldiek-Oberwagner, Mona Vij Intel Labs



Monolithic Webservices



Monolithic Serverless Computing



Monolithic to Microservices

Decompose service into communicating functional units individually deployed in containers



Microservices to Function-as-a-Service (FaaS)

Decompose even further into functions triggered when needed

CSP run



Emergence of Infrastructure Tax

 25% of CPU cycles spent on marshalling, memory copy, synchronization, ...
 CSP run



Service Meshes and Proxies

- Proxy encapsulates service functionality (e.g., load balancing)
- eBPF proxies reduced overhead, still observable
 CSP run



Shared memory as an alternative?

- Involves marshalling and copying of objects
- Needs synchronization or polling
- Needs library capable of regular and shared-mem network



Infrastructure Processing Units (IPUs) as an alternative?

- Offloads cost to cheaper HW, freeing main CPU
- Focused on remote communication



Memory-Safe Software/Hardware Architecture (MeSHwA)

- Execute in single address space
- Isolate using memory-safety guarantees of languages and RT

 \succ Communication is a function call

Memory Safetybased Isolation



Co-Designing the Software/Hardware Tradeoff

Software-only

Software defines computation; Hardware defines execution abstraction.

Rise of Memory-Safe Languages and Runtimes

- Provide safeguards for memory accesses and control flow
- Microsoft/Google report 70% of security vulnerabilities caused by memory safety violations
 - Microsoft, Google, Amazon, FB, NSA urge use of memory safe languages

Examples: Webassembly/Rust





- Compilation target for common languages (e.g., C/C++, Rust, ...) and interpreters (e.g., Python)
- Light-weight isolation to Sandbox memory
- Performance 1.5-2x of native



Source Code Mem-Safe Binary

- Compiler enforced memory safety
- Incremental adoption
 - Interfaces with legacy software (C/C++)
 - No VM/runtime
- Predictable Performance comparable to C/C++

MeSHwA Isolating Services

- Unifying abstraction across different languages and runtimes
- Restricting memory view
 - Object-granular languages vs. VM-based runtimes
 - Sharing across multiple memory-safe services
- Restricting execution targets
 - Limited targets within the service
 - Single exit acting as router across services

MeSHwA Software Runtime

- Specialized common services
- Discovery of common services



MeSHwA Hardware optimizations: Sharing

- Software-only drastically improves sharing, but in some cases still requires copying
- Language support for foreign types
 - Rust provides foreign function interface, Wasm provides interface types
 - Memory ownership unclear across services

Extend research in ownership across applications

- Capability-based Hardware
 - CHERI or Cryptographic Computing
 - Hardware pointer represents memory access privilege

Apply capability-based hardware to single address space

intel

Conclusion: MeSHwA

- Serverless Microservice/FaaS development and deployment model demands SW/HW architecture improvement
- Recent advances in memory-safe languages and runtimes suggest stronger reliance on software
- MeSHwA argues for a single address space, memory-safe environment with optimized hardware

MeSHwA: The case for a Memory-Safe Software and Hardware Architecture for Serverless Computing

> Anjo Vahldiek-Oberagner, Mona Vij (Intel Labs) anjovahldiek@gmail.com, mona.vij@intel.com

Software-only Hardware provides compute only



Software controls computation only Hardware controls

