





No Provisioned Concurrency: Fast RDMA–codesigned Remote Fork for Serverless Computing

Xingda Wei, Fangming Lu, Tianxia Wang, Jinyu Gu, Yuhan Yang, Rong Chen, and Haibo Chen



Serverless computing: a new paradigm



How to abstract the user code? Function (FaaS)

The developers package their code into function

□ Functions are encapsulated into **containers**



Functions are executed via auto-scaled **containers**

Containers are spawned **on-demand** based on requests

The platform will assign a unique URL for each function for the request naming



The benefits

Ease of development

No need to worry how to deploy applications on servers

High resource utilizations

Containers only run when there is workloads

Economical efficiency

Less paid by the user, more resource used by the platform

The benefits at what cost? Function coldstart

Function invocation requires **booting a container from scratch**

Contains multiple steps to prepare the function executing environment

Unfortunately, serverless functions are ephemeral

E.g., 67% of the functions execute within 20ms [Lambda@Edge]



Solution#1: Cache runned containers (warmstart)

After running the functions, don't kill the runned containers

Cache it in the machine's memory for future usage

E.g., via **docker** pause



Solution#1: Cache runned containers (warmstart)

Future invocations can reuse cached instance

□ If a container for the function is cached, then start function from it

E.g., via **docker** unpause



Warmstart is fast (near **optimal in performance**)



Challenge of caching: provisioned concurrency

Need cache sufficient (O(n)) containers beforehand

One cached container can only be unpaused for one invocation

Meanwhile, real workload exists **concurrent function invocations**

E.g., loadspikes may appear in real workloads [Serverless in the wild@ATC'20]



) Find a cached one

- 2) Unpause it
- ③ Run the function
- ④ Pause again

Real-world function traces from Azure function. Source: Serverless in the wild@ATC'20



10

Goal: warmstart + **no provisioned concurrency**

Provisioned concurrency	
Qualifier type	rinn
 Alias 	301.
Version	
Alias	
Provision concurrency for the version that an alias points to. W version or versions.	then you update the alias, provisioned concurrency is allocated to the new
live	
version:8, weight: 100%, description: -	Ŧ
live version:8, weight: 100%, description: - - Provisioned concurrency To maintain capacity for your function to serve a large number concurrency. Provisioned concurrency runs continually and is b more Estimate way cost F.	r of concurrent requests, without waiting for it to scale up, use provisioned illed in addition to standard invocation costs. Learn
500	٥
000	



Existing platforms **require users** to specific the number of cached instances (provisioned concurrency) to improve performance. Source: <u>https://aws.amazon.com/cn/blogs/aws/new-provisionedconcurrency-for-lambda-functions/</u>

Insight: No provisioned concurrency

Users only need to tell the platform whether it needs to prevent coldstart

□ Not tell how many, e.g., we only need O(1) resource provisioned all the time

Solution#2: Caching + Fork

FORK
NAME
fork - create a new process

Use OS Fork to start new containers from one cached containers^[1]

One container (parent) can be forking many times

- Reduce the provisioned concurrency from O(n) -> O(1) on a single machine
- □ Still reduce many steps in coldstart



Limitation of Fork: cannot scale out

Question: what if functions need to run across machines?

□ Fork can only achieve O(1) resource provisioned on a single machine

Still requires O(m) resource provisioned considering scale out!



All machines must cache O(1) instances



Function requests

Machines (m)

This work: Fast remote fork for serverless computing

Observation: remote fork achieves true "no provisioned concurrency" One cached container (O(1)) can fork multiple instances even across machines



Existing remote fork: Checkpoint & Restore (C/R)

Checkpoint (C)

Checkpoint the parent (P) states to a file

Restore (R)

Transfer the file to the child machine

Restore the parent from the file





Evaluation setup: CRIU for C/R, file is transferred via RDMA and is stored in-memory

Optimization: Using C/R + distributed file system (DFS)

Checkpoint (C)

Checkpoint the parent (P) states to a file

Restore (R)

Transfer the file to the child machine

Restore the parent from the file





Evaluation setup: CRIU for C/R, file is stored in Ceph, a state-of-the-art RDMA-enabled DFS, file is in-memory

Key problem: OS cannot access remote memory

Thus, the child needs the filesystem to access the remote memory

□ Filesystem essentially pays the overhead of checkpoint & file accesses



This work: **OS remote memory** for fast remote fork

The OS provides remote memory abstraction for remote fork

E.g., directly use kernel-space RPC to fetch the memory bypassing the filesystem

Benefits

Avoid the costly checkpoint phase

Avoid the filesystem overhead in reading the remote data



This work: **OS remote memory** for fast remote fork

Extend the OS to directly access the memory of remote machines Then implement remote fork by **imitating local fork w/ remote memory accesses**



Further accelerate OS remote memory: **RDMA**!

Observation: modern datacenter interconnects RDMA

RDMA provides high bandwidth (400Gbps) & low latency (2µs) remote memory access

□ The OS can directly access the physical memory of the others via RDMA [1]



[1] LITE Kernel RDMA Support for Datacenter Applications, SOSP'17



Roadmap to the fast remote fork



Basic C/R

+ DFS

+ OS support for remote memory





Parent container memory accessed by the child (MB)



OS support remote fork + RDMA for accelerating data accesses

□ OS fork -> reduce overhead to checkpoint the memory into files

RDMA -> optimal performance in accessing child memory



Compatible w/ containers e.g., **runC**



Accelerate serverless coldstart Reduce tail latency by 90% under loads pikes

Efficiency under loads pikes

Evaluate platform: Fn





[1] Serverless in the Wild: Characterizing and Optimizing the Serverless Workload at a Large Cloud Provider@ATC'20

One more thing: fork for serialization-free state transfer

Serverless workflow can compose multiple functions together While functions use message passing (MP) or cloud storage (CS) for state transfer

Both MP & CS have serialization & memory copy overhead

 \Box Can attributes to 95% of the total function execute time^[1]



Fork for serialization-free state transfer

Suppose we want to run functions A & B

Where B accesses data generated from A



If we fork B from A using MITOSIS, B can

Transparently inherit A's data w/o serialization and memory copy!



[1] https://aws.amazon.com/cn/solutions/case-studies/finra-data-validation/



More about MITOSIS, check our pre-print!

Detailed remote fork design & implementations

□ Various tricks to make the fork fast, e.g. ,prefetch, generalized lean container, etc.

Memory protection & parent resource management

Integrations w/ serverless platforms

For fast autoscale & state transfer

Limitations & future work

Pre-print available at: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.10225</u>



Abstract

Serverless platforms essentially face a tradeoff between container startup time and provisioned concurrency (i.e., cached instances), which is further exaggerated by the frequent need for remote container initialization. This paper presents MITO-SIS, an operating system primitive that provides fast remote fork, which exploits a deep codesign of the OS kernel with RDMA. By leveraging the fast remote read capability of RDMA and partial state transferments



Conclusion, Thanks & QA



MITOSIS: Fast remote fork design & implementation

With a codesign between OS and RDMA

Achieve no provisioned concurrency for serverless functions Fork 10,000+ containers within one second across 5 machines

Achieve fast state transfer between functions

U With no memory copy & data serialization & deserialization overhead

Publicly available at:

https://github.com/ProjectMitosisOS/ProjectMitosisOS